App. F. IX-4

Previous References

CS 44-35-6

" 54-84-9

Later References

1935-36

(CS)

CS File No. 44-35

Serial Nos. 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for June, 36

SUBJECT.

Question at the L C Meeting regarding the Officers Remuneration Assn. Cases

CB 1818

CB 44-35-9

THE INDORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Budget Session - June 1936.

Mr. L.C. LINGO CORDA: 6

Q. No:

Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) the number of persons promoted as Assistant Commissioners for the last five years; (The information to be given eastewar)

(b) the years of service and the grade of pay of each of them;

(c) what is the basis on which such promotions are made

Draft Replies

(a) & B Vide Statement put up ~~fact up.~~ (attached) (~~None~~

ues are
ld as
ers).
Bill list with
ues Vide 7 D)

(c) Seniority & merit.

Submd.

Cfd 12.6.36)

Re: (c). Reference is invited to more elaborate Replies given in June 35 & Dec-35 7 D & B.

Smt Menta & Dewan)

12-6-36

14-6-36

13/6

L.C. Office for Endorsing Hindi copies

Hindi copies taken 17/6.

LEGISLATIVE OFFICE
13 JUNE 1936
No. 7643

OFFICE OF THE
PRIVATE SECRETARY OF COUNCIL
13 JUNE 1936
No. 915-1

[Fuller details with names of officers given in P.D]

Particulars re: persons appointed as Assistant Commissioners during the last five years:--

....

Community.	No.	Total service.	Present pay.
Brahmins. (16)	1.	26 years.	Rs.350/-
	2.	28 ,,	,, 350/-
	3.	29 ,,	,, 350/-
	4.	20 ,,	,, 350/-
	5.	10 ,,	,, 300/-
	6.	38 ,,	,, 290/-
	7.	30 ,,	,, 290/-
	8.	13 ,,	,, 290/-
	9.	20 ,,	,, 250/-
	10.	24 ,,	,, 250/-
	11.	16 ,,	,, 250/-
	12.	28 ,,	,, 250/-
	13.	26 ,,	,, 250/-
	14.	19 ,,	,, 250/-
	15.	26 ,,	,, 250/-
	16.	31 ,,	,, 250/-
Mahomedans: (7)	1.	9 ,,	,, 290/-
	2.	11 ,,	,, 250/-
	3.	19 ,,	,, 250/-
	4.	16 ,,	,, 250/-
	5.	18 ,,	,, 250/-
	6.	17 ,,	,, 250/-
	7.	11 ,,	,, 250/-
Lingayets: (3)	1.	9 ,,	,, 290/-
	2.	8 ,,	,, 250/-
	3.	29 ,,	,, 250/-

P.70

Particulars re: persons appointed as Assistant Commissioners during the last five years:--

....

Community.		Total service.	Present Pay.
Vokkaligars: (2)	1.	13 years.	Rs.250/-
	2.	10 ,,	,, 250/-
Christians: (2)	1.	9 ,,	,, 325/-
	2.	7 ,,	,, 250/-
Nain: (1)	1.	19 ,,	,, 325/-
Ursus: (2)	1.	10 ,,	,, 250/-
	2.	22 ,,	,, 250/-
Banajigas: (1)	1.	7 ,,	,, 250/-
Goldsmith. (1)	1.	9 ,,	,, 250/-
Kunchatigas: (1)	1.	11 ,,	,, 325/-

L.C. Investigation: No 6

CM 1878

General & Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

To Secy, W.C
Mr. d. C. L. for kindly noting if there were any supplementary questions and any further action is required from
Govt
30/6 CPL

A copy of supplementary questions put & answered in the Council is placed in the file
Portions relating to the President have not yet been approved [Approval expected by Govt Mail]
C.R.O. 4-831] C. B. with Council
2/4/87

Govt appears to be no point requiring action. If approved this may be filed
Govt
18/8/86 May be recorded
B. CPL 18/8/86

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL - Budget Session.

June 1936 :—

Mr. M.C.Linge Gowda.

Question:	Answer:
Will the Government be pleased to state:	
a) the number of persons promoted as Assistant Commissioners for the last five years; (The information to be given castewar)	(a) and (b): Vide Statement put up.
b) the years of service and the grade of pay of each of them;	
(c) what is the basis on which such promotions are made?	(c) Seniority and merit.

.....

For Chief Secretary to Govt.

Particulars re: persons appointed as Assistant Commissioners during the last five years:--

* * *

Community.	No.	Total service.	Present pay.
Brahmins.	1.	26 years.	Rs.350/-
(16)	2.	28 ,,	350/-
	3.	29 ,,	350/-
	4.	20 ,,	350/-
	5.	10 ,,	300/-
	6.	38 ,,	290/-
	7.	30 ,,	290/-
	8.	13 ,,	290/-
	9.	20 ,,	250/-
	10.	24 ,,	250/-
	11.	16 ,,	250/-
	12.	28/-,,	250/-
	13.	26 ,,	250/-
	14.	19 ,,	250/-
	15.	26 ,,	250/-
	16.	31 ,,	250/-
Mahomedans:	1.	9 ,,	290/-
(7)	2.	11 ,,	250/-
	3.	19 ,,	250/-
	4.	16 ,,	250/-
	5.	18 ,,	250/-
	6.	17 ,,	250/-
	7.	11 ,,	250/-
Lingayets:	1.	9 ,,	290/-
(3)	2.	8 ,,	250/-
	3.	29 ,,	250/-

Community.	No.	Total service.	Present pay.
Vokkaligars:	1.	13 years.	Rs. 250/-
(2)	2.	10 ,,	250/-
Christians:	1.	9 ,,	325/-
(2)	2.	7 ,,	250/-
Jain.(1)	1.	19 ,,	325/-
Ursus:(2)	1.	10 ,,	250/-
	2.	22 ,,	250/-
Banajigas:(1)	1.	7 ,,	250/-
Goldsmith.(1)	1.	9 ,,	250/-
Kunchitigas:(1)	1.	11 ,,	325/-

Statement showing the persons appointed as Assistant Commissioners during the last 5 years, the total service put in by each of them and present pay:--

Community.		Name of officer.	Total service.	Present pay.
Brahmins. (16)	1.	Mr.B.Subramanya Sastry.	26 years.	Rs.350/-
	2.	" B.Thammiah, ..	28 ,,	,, 350/-
	3.	" K.Ananthapadmanabhaiya.	29 ,,	,, 350/-
	4.	" T.Venkatarangan ..	20 ,,	,, 350/-
	5.	" K.P.Ramanathiaya ..	10 ,,	,, 300/-
	6.	" H.Narayana Rao ..	38 ,,	,, 290/-
	7.	" K.V.Srinivasa Char.	30 ,,	,, 290/-
	8.	" M.Ramaswamiya, ..	13 ,,	,, 290/-
	9.	" S.K.Venkataramana Iyer.	20 ,,	,, 250/-
	10.	" Y.Srikantaiya ..	24 ,,	,, 250/-
	11.	" T.Rangaswamy. ..	16 ,,	,, 250/-
	12.	" C.K.Chellappa Iyer,	28 ,,	,, 250/-
	13.	" M.N.Anandalwar ..	26 ,,	,, 250/-
	14.	" C.Ramachandra Rao,	19 ,,	,, 250/-
	15.	" M.Narayana Rao, ..	26 ,,	,, 250/-
	16.	" B.Suryanarayana Rao.	31 ,,	,, 250/-
Mahomedans: (7)	1.	" A.S.Khaleel, ..	9 ,,	,, 290/-
	2.	" K.Mohamed Ahmed ..	11 ,,	,, 250/-
	3.	" Abdulla Hussein Sheriff	19 ,,	,, 250/-
	4.	" Syed Abdul Khader..	16 ,,	,, 250/-
	5.	" Abdul Razak, ..	18 ,,	,, 250/-
	6.	" Syed Abdul Aleem ..	17 ,,	,, 250/-
	7.	" Abdul Azeem, ..	11 ,,	,, 250/-
Lingayets: (3)	1.	" K.Thipperudriya ..	9 ,,	,, 290/-
	2.	" H.N.Pallegar ..	8 ,,	,, 250/-
	3.	" M.B.Shankarappa ..	29 ,,	,, 250/-

Community.	Name of Officer.	Total service.	Present Pay.
Vokkaligars: (2)	1.Mr.B.T.Kempanna, ..	13 years.	Rs.250/-
	2. " L.Muniswamy, ..	10 ,,	,, 250/-
Christians: (2)	1. " George Matthan, ..	9 ,,	,, 325/-
	2. " R. J. Rego, ..	7 ,,	,, 250/-
Hain:	1. Mr.R.V.Surappa, ..	19 ,,	,, 325/-
Ursus: (2)	1. Mr.D.Madan Gopal Raj Urs.	10 ,,	,, 250/-
	2. " B.Siddaraj Urs.	22 ,,	,, 250/-
Banajigas:	1. Mr.T.L.Kantam, .	7 ,,	,, 250/-
Goldsmith.	1. Mr.B. M. Krishnan .	9 ,,	,, 250/-
Kunchatigas:	1. Mr.N.Puttarangaswamy	11 ,,	,, 325/-

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS.

Q. No. 6:

Mr. H.G. DASAPPA: Is there any proportion fixed as regards the number of persons to be promoted to this cadre and those who are to be directly appointed ?

Mr. A.V. RAMANATHAN: No.

Mr. H.C. DASAPPA: Am I to take it that there is no question of having a proportion between those to be promoted and those to be appointed directly to the cadre ?

Mr. A.V. RAMANATHAN: There is no such thing at present.

MR. H.C. DASAPPA: Have they not had some such proportion in the past ?

Mr. A.V. RAMANATHAN: Notice.

MR. H.C. DASAPPA: Is it not a fact that they had given up that idea recently ?

Mr. A.V. RAMANATHAN: Which idea please ?

Dr. H.C. DAMAPPA: Having a proportion between those to be promoted and those to be appointed directly.

DR. A.V. RAMANTHAN: It is not a fact that any such idea was in existence or that it has been given up at present.

DR. H.C. DAMAPPA: It is stated in the answer that promotions are made on the basis of seniority and merit. Is there any list maintained in the office as to the persons who are eligible to be promoted to this cadre ?

Dr. A.V. RAMANTHAN: The hon'ble member's attention is invited to my answer to question No. 167 of the December (1985) meeting of this Council. The answer that I gave then was that "these are matters pertaining to details of administration and cannot be canvassed on the floor of the House".

Dr. H.C. DAMAPPA: It is a question of fact that I am asking for. Is a list maintained or not ?

Dr. A.V. RAMANTHAN: The question answered was the same.

MR. H.C. DAMAPPA: May I take it as the final answer on the point ?

MR. PRESIDENT: A list is maintained. He cannot give you the particulars of that list.

Dr. H.C. DAMAPPA: I am thankful to you for the information. I wish the member would be more communicative.

~~Mr. P. S. [illegible]~~: May I know as to whether, when the list is prepared, recommendations of the various Heads of Departments are not obtained ?

The FIRST MINISTER (Rajamantrapravina S.P. Rajagopalachari): Yes.

MR. F.C. DASAPPA: ~~[illegible]~~ In including names in the list, is attention paid to the representation of various communities ?

Dr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: Yes.

Dr. F.C. DASAPPA: Will the Government then say why the representation of certain communities has been practically ignored and why some have been very inadequately represented ?

Dr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: This is again going into/administrative details which the Chief Secretary said in his reply last time that he could not go into on the floor of this House.

Dr. F.C. DASAPPA: Will the Government be pleased to bear it in mind in the preparation of the list in future ?

Dr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: Yes; certainly.

Dr. H. SIDDAIYA: Are there no senior Amildars whose claims are ignored, ~~in spite of being their eligibility~~ for promotion as Assistant Commissioners ?

Dr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: Merit includes all those things.

DR. H. SIDDAIYA: Are Amildars disabled for not being graduates ?

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: Notice.

Mr. H.SIDDAIYA: Is non-collection of land revenue a disqualification for Amildari ?

Mr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: That does not arise out of this question.

MR. H. SIDDAIYA: In what ratio these Amildars —as between Brahmins and other communities — are added on to the list of Assistant Commissioners ?

Mr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: These are all going into the details. I promise to answer on general matters ~~this~~ relating to this question.

Mr. H. SIDDAIYA: In what proportion are these Amildars included in the list as between Brahmins and other communities ?

Mr. S.P-RAJAGOPALACHARI: You can see from the statement what the percentage is.

Mr. H. SIDDAIYA: In the list in our hands, it is ~~exactly~~ 36 and about one-third of it, i.e., 18 is allotted to Hindu non-Brahmins. Is there any rule that only one-third should be given to Hindu non-Brahmins of the State ?

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: I want notice. You can draw any inference you like from the figures. If you want me to tell you what exactly is the percentage, I want notice.

MR. H. SIDDAIYA: Is there any rule that two-thirds of these appointments should go to two communities only, viz., Brahmins and Muslims??

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: No.

Mr. R. SIDDAIYA: Now is it it has so coincided that two-thirds exactly is given to these two communities ?

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: That is not a request for information.

MR. D.H. CHANDRAPPAIYA: Is it not the recognised principle of Government that all communities should be properly and adequately represented on the basis of the strength of the community ?

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: Yes; as far as possible.

MR. D.H. CHANDRAPPAIYA: Does not this not show that there is ~~disparity~~ great disproportion as between the different communities in this cadre ?

Mr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: I do not agree there.

Mr. D.H. CHANDRAPPAIYA: May I point out that as between the Brahmin community, the Mahomedan community and the Vokkaliga community, the number appointed for the last five years is very disproportionate taking into consideration the strength of the Vokkaligara community and the other two communities ?

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: The question refers to promotions to the cadre of Assistant Commissioners from the grade of Amildars presumably. It is not from the general list of applicants. It is only from the list of Amildars and that list is already made up of certain people. It is only from that list that we promote.

MR. D.P. [illegible]'S'NARAYA: May I take it that there are Assistant Commissioners who have been directly appointed besides those who are promoted, from other communities ?

MR. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI: Yes.

MR. PRESIDENT: Don't you think you are taking too much time over this question ? You must remember that this relates to promotions. With regard to promotions there can be no question of percentage. It depends entirely on merit and seniority and not on communal basis. I can understand that in with reference to new appointments and not for promotions.